

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/090,173	GUNARATNAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette F. Dixon	3771	

All Participants:

(1) Annette F. Dixon, PTO personnel.

(2) John P. Darling, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

62 63 97 98

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See examiner's amendment. Applicant clarified interest in pursuing the allowance of claims 62, 63, 97, and 98, even though the claims were not listed on the Appeal Brief.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After Appeal Brief

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00

/Annette F Dixon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)